



Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) Steiner et al		
09/781,427			
Examiner	Group Art Unit	_	

	Rebecca Cook	1614	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) Rebecca Cook	(3)	<u>.</u>	
(2) Rouget Henschel	(4)		
Date of Interview Dec. 13, 2001			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applican	nt 2)[X] applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief descripti	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: claims pending			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reach	ed. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□] N/A.	,
Substance of Interview including description of the gene any other comments:	eral nature of what was agreed to it	f an agreement v	was reached, or
The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 paragraphs one and	two and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) were d	liscussed.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where navailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	parate record of the substance of t	the interview (if I	oox is checked).

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

REBECCA COOK PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1614

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached